- .
- 1. We try to maximize $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + z^2 2xy$ under constraints $x^2 + 4y^2 + 9z^2 \le 6$, $x \ge 0$, $y \ge 0$, and $z \ge 0$.
 - (a) (1 pt) Write down the Kuhn-Tucker Lagrangian function $\tilde{L}(x,y,z,\lambda)$.
 - (b) (4 pts) Suppose that the NDCQ is satisfied at the maximizer (x^*, y^*, z^*) . Write down the first order conditions in the Kuhn-Tucker formulation. (You don't need to solve these equations.)

Solution:

- (a) $\tilde{L}(x, y, z, \lambda) = x^2 + z^2 2xy \lambda(x^2 + 4y^2 + 9z^2 6)$. (1 pt).
- (b) There is a nonnegative λ^* such that

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(x^*, y^*, z^*, \lambda^*) = 2x^* - 2y^* - 2\lambda^* x^* \le 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial y}(x^*, y^*, z^*, \lambda^*) = -2x^* - 8\lambda^* y^* \le 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial z}(x^*, y^*, z^*, \lambda^*) = 2z^* - 18\lambda^* z^* \le 0$$

$$x^* \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial x}(x^*, y^*, z^*, \lambda^*) = x^* (2x^* - 2y^* - 2\lambda^* x^*) = 0$$

$$y^* \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial y}(x^*, y^*, z^*, \lambda^*) = y^* (-2x^* - 8\lambda^* y^*) = 0$$

$$z^* \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial z}(x^*, y^*, z^*, \lambda^*) = z^* (2z^* - 18\lambda^* z^*) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \lambda}(x^*, y^*, z^*, \lambda^*) = -(x^* + 4y^* + 9z^* - 6) \ge 0$$

$$\lambda^* \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \lambda}(x^*, y^*, z^*, \lambda^*) = -\lambda^* (x^* + 4y^* + 9z^* - 6) = 0$$

 $(\frac{1}{2}$ pt for each equation.)

2. Consider $f(x,y,z) = x^2 + z^2 - 2xy - 4xz - 2yz$ under constraints $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$ and x + y + z = 0. We find that $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}})$ together with some μ_1^* , μ_2^* is a critical point of the Lagrangian function

$$L(x, y, z, \mu_1, \mu_2) = f(x, y, z) - \mu_1(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - 1) - \mu_2(x + y + z).$$

- (a) (3 pts) Find μ_1^* and μ_2^* .
- (b) (4 pts) Write down the Hessian matrix of the Lagrangian function, $D^2_{(\vec{\mu},\vec{x})}L$, at $(x,y,z,\mu_1,\mu_2)=(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0,\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}},\mu_1^*,\mu_2^*)$.
- (c) (3 pts) Check the second order condition and show that $f(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}})$ is a local maximum of f under the constraints.
- (d) (5 pts) Given that $f(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}})$ is the absolute maximum, estimate the maximum value of $x^2 + z^2 2xy 3.9xz 2yz$ under constraints $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 0.98$ and x + 1.1y + z = 0

Solution:

(a) At
$$(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}})$$
,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} &= 2x - 2y - 4z - 2\mu_1^*x - \mu_2^* = \frac{6}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\mu_1^* - \mu_2^* = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} &= -2x - 2z - 2\mu_1^*y - \mu_2^* = -\mu_2^* = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial z} &= 2z - 4x - 2y - 2\mu_1^*z - \mu_2^* = \frac{-6}{\sqrt{2}} + \frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}\mu_1^* - \mu_2^* = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \mu_1^* &= 3, \ \mu_2^* = 0. \end{split}$$

(2 pts for listing correct equations $\frac{\partial L}{\partial x} = 0$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = 0$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial z} = 0$. 1 pt for solving μ_1^* and μ_2^* .)

(2 pts for the right-lower 3×3 sub matrix, $D_{\vec{x}}^2L$. 1 pt for the first and second rows and columns. The answer with first and second rows and columns multiplied by -1 (the bordered Hessian matrix) is also correct.)

When
$$(x, y, z, \mu_1, \mu_2) = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, 3, 0), D^2 L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -\sqrt{2} & -1 & -4 & -2 & -4 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & -6 & -2 \\ \sqrt{2} & -1 & -4 & -2 & -4 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1 pt)

(c) To determine whether $f(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}})$ is a local maxi or local min, we need to compute the last (3-2) leading principle minors of D^2L , which is det D^2L . (1 pt)

Multiply the third row with (-1) and add it to the fifth row. We obtain:

$$\det D^2 L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\sqrt{2} & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -\sqrt{2} & -1 & -4 & -2 & -4 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & -6 & -2 \\ 2\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -48 \text{ which has the same sign as } (-1)^3. \text{ (2 pts for computing the }$$

Hence $f(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}})$ is a local maximum.

(At $(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}},0,\frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}})$, the gradient vectors of constraint functions are $(\sqrt{2},0,-\sqrt{2})$ and (1,1,1). The vectors orthogonal to these gradient vectors are parallel to (1, -2, 1). And we have

$$(a -2a -a) D_{\vec{x}}^2 L \begin{pmatrix} a \\ -2a \\ a \end{pmatrix} = (a -2a -a) \begin{pmatrix} -4 & -2 & -4 \\ -2 & -6 & -2 \\ -4 & -2 & -4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ -2a \\ a \end{pmatrix} = -24a^2 < 0 , \text{ for all } a \neq 0,$$

which also shows that $f(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}})$ is a local maximum.)

(d) Maximize $f(x, y, z; a_1) = x^2 + z^2 - 2xy - a_1xz - 2yz$ under constraints: $h_1(x, y, z; a_2) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - a_2 = 0$, $h_2(x, y, z; a_3) = x + a_3y + z = 0.$

Suppose that the maximizer $(x^*(a_1, a_2, a_3), y^*(a_1, a_2, a_3), z^*(a_1, a_2, a_3))$ depends smoothly on parameters a_1, a_2, a_3 . Then the envelope theorem says that

where $L(x, y, z, \mu_1, \mu_2; a_1, a_2, a_3) = f(x, y, z; a_1) - \mu_1(h_1(x, y, z; a_2)) - \mu_2(h_2(x, y, z; a_3))$. (1 pts for correctly stating the envelope theorem.) Since $\frac{\partial L}{\partial a_1} = -xz$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial a_2} = \mu_1$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial a_3} = -\mu_2 y$, at $(x^*, y^*, z^*, \mu_1^*, \mu_2^*) = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, 3, 0)$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial a_1} = \frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial a_2} = 3$, $\frac{\partial L}{\partial a_3} = 0$. (3 pts for correct partial derivatives $\frac{\partial L}{\partial a_i}$, i = 1, 2, 3.)

We know that when $a_1 = 4, a_2 = 1, a_3 = 1$, the maximum value is $f(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}) = 3$.

Hence when $a_1 = 3.9$, $a_2 = 0.98$, $a_3 = 1.1$, the maximum value is approximated by

$$f(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 0, \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial a_1} \times (3.9 - 4) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial a_2} \times (0.98 - 1) + \frac{\partial L}{\partial a_3} \times (1.1 - 1) = 3 + (\frac{1}{2}) \times (-0.1) + 3 \times (-0.02) + 0 \times (0.1) = 2.89.$$

(1 pt for the linear approximation and the final answer.)